

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/982,383	10/18/2001	Rajendra Kumar	D-386	7672
7590 03/30/2005			EXAMINER	
Derrick M. Reid			KIM, KEVIN	
Patent Attorney				
The Aerospace Corporation			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 92957 (MI/040)			2634	
Los Angeles, CA 90009-2957				
			DATE MAILED: 03/30/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8.	<b></b> _		
*	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Commence	09/982,383	KUMAR ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAIL ING DATE of this account of the same	Kevin Y Kim	2634	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill appty and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Oct     This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This     Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 1.	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 October 2001 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) []  -t:::: S:	VDTO 442)	
<ul> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/982,383

Art Unit: 2634

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Claxton et al (US 6,813,320) in view of Apelewicz (US 5,909,435) and Kost et al (US 6,081,215).

Claims 1,6 and 7.

Claxton et al discloses a system for channelizing an IF wideband input signal (output of mixer 28), comprising;

- a mixer (64) for demodulating the wideband IF input signal to a baseband signal,
- a clock generator (40) for generating a sampling clock signal,
- a sampler and A/D converter (62) for digitizing the baseband signal, and

Application/Control Number: 09/982,383

Art Unit: 2634

a processor (22) for transforming the digitized signal into channelized digital output signals.

The claimed invention differs, first, from Claxton et al in that it downcoverts the IF wideband signal in quadrataure to generate a complex signal. However, quadrature demodulation of an IF signal is well known in the art when a transmitted signal is quadrature modulated as evidenced by Apelwicz (see Fig.2) and thus would have been obvious The claimed invention further differs from Claxton et al in that it uses a polyphase clock generator to provide sample clocks to a plurality of samplers in a phasestaggered manner. Kost et al teaches, for analog-to-digital converting a wideband signal, the use of a plurality of low-rate A/D converters, clocked by sampling clocks signals of staggered phases in place of a high-rate A/D converter that has drawbacks of a large power consumption and non-linearity. See Fig. 4, col. 1, lines 41-43, col. 2, lines 3-5, and col. 8, lines 19-31. A bank of filters for the digitized signals are well known in a channelizer as admitted by applicant in the specification at page 27, line 24 0 page 28, line 13 for respectively filtering the sampled digitized signals. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the channerlizer of Claxton et al such that a plurality of analog to digital converters, clocked in staggered phases, is used to solve problems associated with a singly high-rate A/D converter.

Claim 2.

Claxton et al discloses the processor is a fast Fourier transform processor. See col.11, lines 52-53.

Claims 3 and 4.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/982,383

Art Unit: 2634

It is quite established that the plurality of filters in the polyphase filter band are either finite or infinite impulse response filters, as admitted by applicant. See the specification at page 27, line 24 0 page 28, line 13.

Claim 5.

Claxton et al discloses the wideband signal comprises a plurality of channel signal that are frequency division multiple access signals. See col. 3, lines 49-55.

The filters of have a bandwidth equal to one half of a bandwidth of a respective channel signal. See the specification at page 27, line 24 0 page 28, line 13.

Claim 8.

Claxton et al discloses the processor is a fast Fourier transform processor. See col.11, lines 52-53. It would have been obvious to implement the gain and phase offset filters described by Kost et al with well known finite/infinite impulse response filters.

Claim 9.

Claxton et al discloses the wideband signal comprises a plurality of channel signal that are frequency division multiple access signals. See col. 3, lines 49-55.

The filters of have a bandwidth equal to one half of a bandwidth of a respective channel signal. See the specification at page 27, line 24 0 page 28, line 13.

## Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Sonalkar et al (US 6,356,569) teaches a polyphase filter in a digital channelizer.

Art Unit: 2634

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Y Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-3039. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM --5PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on 571-272-3056. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

1 lu 1 lui

PATE I ALIPER